### Practitioner's Docket No. 714-001.021



IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

ication No.:

10/661,082

Group No.:

2872

Filed: September 12, 2003

plication of: John Moon, et al

Examiner:

Arnel C. Lavarias

For:

METHOD AND APPARATUS FOR LABELING USING DIFFRACTION GRATING-

BASED ENCODED OPTICAL IDENTIFICATION ELEMENT

Mail Stop Amendment Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

### AMENDMENT TRANSMITTAL

1. Transmitted herewith is an amendment for this application.

### **STATUS**

2. Applicant is i a small entity. A statement:

☐ is attached.

was already filed.

Other than a small entity.

CERTIFICATE OF MAILING/TRANSMISSION UNDER 37 C.F.R. §1.8(a)

I hereby certify that this correspondence is, on the date shown below, being:

MAILING

☑ deposited with the United States Postal Service with sufficient postage as firstclass mail, in an envelope addressed to Mail Stop Amendment, Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

Date: May 13, 2005

**FACSIMILE** 

☐ transmitted by facsimile to the U.S. Patent and Trademark Office.

Linda M. Leopardi

(type or print name of person certifying)

05/17/2005 EAREGAY1 00000008 10661082

01 FC:2251

60.00 OP

(Amendment Transmittal 19-19) - page 1 of 4)

### **EXTENSION OF TERM**

NOTE:	"Extension of Time in Patent Cases (Supplement Amendments) - If a timely and complete response has been filed after
	a Non-Final Office Action, an extension of time is not required to permit filing and/or entry of an additional amendment
	after expiration of the shortened statutory period.

If a timely response has been filed after a Final Office Action, an extension of time is required to permit filing and/or entry of a Notice of Appeal or filing and/or entry of an additional amendment after expiration of the shortened statutory period unless the timely-filed response placed the application in condition for allowance. Of course, if a Notice of Appeal has been filed within the shortened statutory period, the period has ceased to run." Notice of December 10, 1985 (1061 O.G. 34-35).

NOTE: See 37 C.F.R. §1.645 for extensions of time in interference proceedings, and 37 C.F.R. §1.550(c) for extensions of time in reexamination proceedings.

3. The proceedings herein are for a patent application and the provisions of 37 C.F.R. §1.136 apply.

(complete (a) or (b), as applicable)

(a) Applicant petitions for an extension of time under 37 C.F.R. §1.136 (fees: 37 C.F.R. §1.17(a)(1)-(4)) for the total number of months checked below:

Fee for other than small entity	Fee for small entity
\$ 120.00	\$ 60.00
\$ 450.00	\$225.00
\$1,020.00	\$510.00
\$1,590.00	\$795.00
	\$ 120.00 \$ 450.00 \$1,020.00

Fee: \$60.00

If an additional extension of time is required, please consider this a petition therefor.

(check and complete the next item, if applicable)

		An extension for months has already been secured. The fee paid therefor of \$ is deducted from the total fee due for the total months of extension now requested.
		Extension fee due with this request \$ 60.00
_		OR
this conditional petition is being made to		Applicant believes that no extension of term is required. However, this conditional petition is being made to provide for the possibility that applicant has inadvertently overlooked the need for a petition for

extension of time.

### **FEE FOR CLAIMS**

4. The fee for claims (37 C.F.R. §1.16(b)-(d)) has been calculated as shown below:

(Col. 1)	(Col. 2)	(Col. 3)	SMALL ENTITY	OTHER THAN A SMALL ENTITY
CLAIMS REMAINING AFTER AMENDMENT	HIGHEST NO. PREVIOUSLY PAID FOR	PRESENT EXTRA	ADDIT. RATE FEE <i>OR</i>	ADDIT. RATE FEE
TOTAL: MINU	JS 20 =		x \$ 25 =	x \$ 50 = \$ 0
INDEP: MIN	US 3 =	0	x \$100 = \$	x \$200 = \$ 0
☐ FIRST PRESENTATION	OF MULTIPLE DEP.	CLAIM	+ \$180 = \$	+ \$360 = \$ 0
		,	TOTAL ADDL. FEE	TOTAL ADDL. FEE \$ 0

WARNING: "After final rejection or action (§1.113) amendments may be made cancelling claims or complying with any requirement of form which has been made." 37 C.F.R. §1.116(a) (emphasis added).

	(complete (c) or (d), as applicable)
(c)	☑ No additional fee for claims is required.
	OR
(d)	☐Total additional fee for claims required is \$
	FEE PAYMENT

5.

# Attached is a check in the sum of \$ 60.00

Charge Account No.	the sum of \$	A duplicate of
this transmittal is attached.		

#### **FEE DEFICIENCY**

NOTE:

If there is a fee deficiency and there is no authorization to charge an account, additional fees are necessary to cover the additional time consumed in making up the original deficiency. If the maximum six-month period has expired before the deficiency is noted and corrected, the application is held abandoned. In those instances where authorization to charge is included, processing delays are encountered in returning the papers to the PTO Finance Branch in order to apply these charges prior to action on the cases. Authorization to charge the deposit account for any fee deficiency should be checked. See the Notice of April 7, 1986 (1065 O.G. 31-33).

6. If any additional extension and/or fee is required, charge Account No. 23-0442.

### AND/OR

If any additional fee for claims is required, charge Account No. 23-0442.

Signature of Practitioner

Reg. No.: 32,720

Telephone No.: (203) 261-1234

Customer No.: 004955

William J. Barber Ware, Fressola, Van Der Sluys & Adolphson LLP Bradford Green, Building Five 755 Main Street, P.O. Box 224

Monroe, CT 06468

## IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Docket No.: 714-001.021/CV-0040

Group No.: 2872

Confirmation: 7101

Examiner: Arnel C. Lavarias

In re application of

JOHN MOON ET AL

Serial No.: 10/661,082

Filed: September 12, 2003

Title: Method and Apparatus for Labeling

**Using Diffraction Grating-based** 

**Encoded Optical Identification Elements** 

Commissioner of Patent and Trademarks

P.O. Box 1450

Alexandria, VA 22313

### RESPONSE TO NOTICE OF NON-COMPLIANCE

Dear Sir:

This is a response to a Notice of Non-Compliance mailed March 17, 2005 being submitted with a one month extension of time.1

This response forms part of and effectively supercedes a previous response to an Office Action mailed October 12, 2004 submitted on February 16, 2005 with a request for a one month extension of time, which is hereby incorporated by reference to the extent necessary to comply with the Notice of Non-compliance. The previous response included amendments to the application that were drafted consistent with a phone interview between Attorney Gerald L. DePardo (Reg. No. 36,121) and Examiner Lavarias on 9 November 2004. The amendments provided herein are deemed to place

eopardi Hay 13, 2005

I hereby certify that this correspondence is being deposited with the United States Postal Service on the date shown below with sufficient postage as first class mail in an envelope addressed to: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450